

Uniqueness of Equilibrium in the Kyle (1985) Model

Ioanid Roşu

May 1, 2012

Abstract

This note analyzes the uniqueness of the equilibrium in the one-period version of the Kyle (1985) model. It reformulates the problem as the uniqueness of the solution of an implicit functional equation.

1 The Kyle (1985) Model

- This is a 1-period trading model, in which trading takes place at $t = 0$, and the truth is revealed at $t = 1$.
- There is a single risky asset with normally distributed liquidation value (at $t = 1$):

$$v \sim \mathcal{N}(p_0, \sigma_v^2). \quad (1)$$

- There are 3 types of market participants:
 - Noise traders, who trade in aggregate an exogenous amount:

$$u \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_u^2), \quad (2)$$

such that u and v are independently distributed.

- One risk-neutral trader (the “insider”), who at time $t = 0$ observes v , but not u . The insider trades at $t = 0$ a quantity x which maximizes expected profit at $t = 1$, to be defined below. The optimum demand x is a function of v :

$$x = X(v). \quad (3)$$

- Risk-neutral market makers, who do not observe v , but observe the aggregate order from the noise traders and the insider

$$y = x + u. \quad (4)$$

The market makers do not observe either x or u separately. They determine the price p at which trading at $t = 0$ takes place. They set the price in a competitive way, so that the market is efficient, to be explained below. The competitive price is a function of $x + u$:

$$p = P(x + u). \quad (5)$$

- The profit of the insider is denoted by:

$$\pi = \Pi(v, p, x) = (v - p)x. \quad (6)$$

- The equilibrium is a pair (X, P) , where X the trading strategy of the insider, and P the pricing rule of the market makers. X and P satisfy:

- Market efficiency (i.e., the market makers make zero profit)

$$P(x + u) = \mathbf{E}(v \mid x + u). \quad (7)$$

- Profit maximization:

$$X(v) = \arg \max_x \mathbf{E}((v - P(x + u))x). \quad (8)$$

- **Theorem:** There exists a unique linear equilibrium (X, P) . Define constants β and λ by:

$$\beta = \frac{\sigma_u}{\sigma_v}, \quad \lambda = \frac{1}{2\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sigma_v}{\sigma_u}. \quad (9)$$

Then the equilibrium demand and price are given by

$$X(v) = \beta(v - p_0), \quad (10)$$

$$P(x + u) = p_0 + \lambda(x + u). \quad (11)$$

- **Proof:** Write P and X as linear functions:

$$X(v) = \alpha + \beta v, \quad (12)$$

$$P(y) = \mu + \lambda y. \quad (13)$$

Given the linear price rule P , the insider solves

$$\max_x \mathbf{E}(v - \mu - \lambda(x + u))x = \max_x (v - \mu - \lambda x)x. \quad (14)$$

The first order condition implies $v - \mu - 2\lambda x = 0$, or $x = X(v) = -\frac{\mu}{2\lambda} + \frac{1}{2\lambda}v$. Therefore

$$\alpha = -\frac{\mu}{2\lambda} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = \frac{1}{2\lambda}. \quad (15)$$

The market makers set the price to satisfy

$$\mu + \lambda y = \mathbf{E}(v \mid \alpha + \beta v + u = y). \quad (16)$$

To compute this, use the properties of the linear regression:

$$\mathbf{E}(Y|Z) = a + bZ, \quad (17)$$

where

$$b = \frac{\text{cov}(Y, Z)}{\text{Var}(Z)} \quad \text{and} \quad a = \mu_Y - b\mu_Z. \quad (18)$$

In our case, $Y = v$ and $Z = \alpha + \beta v + u$, so we get

$$\lambda = \frac{\beta\sigma_0^2}{\beta\sigma_0^2 + \sigma_u^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu = p_0 - \lambda(\alpha + \beta p_0). \quad (19)$$

Now use the 4 equations for α , β , λ and μ to show that their values are indeed as stated.

2 Uniqueness Condition

We next state a necessary condition that any equilibrium, including a non-linear one must satisfy. We have already defined an equilibrium as a pair (X, P) that satisfies (5) and (6):

$$P(y) = \mathbb{E}(v \mid X(v) + u = y), \quad (20)$$

$$X(v) = \arg \max_x \mathbb{E}((v - P(x + u))x). \quad (21)$$

Start with the pricing formula (20). Since v and u are independent, we can write

$$P(y) = \mathbb{E}(v \mid u = y - X(v)) \quad (22)$$

$$= \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} v \phi\left(\frac{v}{\sigma_v}\right) \phi\left(\frac{y-X(v)}{\sigma_u}\right) dv}{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi\left(\frac{v}{\sigma_v}\right) \phi\left(\frac{y-X(v)}{\sigma_u}\right) dv}, \quad (23)$$

where

$$\phi(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{1/2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2} \quad (24)$$

is the standard normal density.

The insider takes P as given, thus the optimal strategy must satisfy the first order condition with respect to x :

$$\mathbb{E}(v - P(x + u) - xP'(x + u)) = 0. \quad (25)$$

Note that the expectation (integration) is over u , since v is known by the insider. Then

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left(v - P(x + u) - xP'(x + u) \right) \phi\left(\frac{u}{\sigma_u}\right) \frac{1}{\sigma_u} du = 0. \quad (26)$$

In order to integrate by parts, we make the following assumption:

Assumption 1. $P(y)$ grows less fast than $e^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{y}{\sigma_u}\right)^2}$ at infinity.

In (26) we integrate by parts:

$$v + \frac{1}{\sigma_u} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left(-P(x + u) \phi\left(\frac{u}{\sigma_u}\right) + \frac{x}{\sigma_u} P(x + u) \phi'\left(\frac{u}{\sigma_u}\right) \right) du = 0. \quad (27)$$

Since $\phi'(x) = -x\phi(x)$, we get

$$v - \frac{1}{\sigma_u} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} P(x + u) \left(1 + \frac{xu}{\sigma_u^2} \right) \phi\left(\frac{u}{\sigma_u}\right) du = 0. \quad (28)$$

This is the first order condition that defines the optimal strategy $x = X(v)$. Therefore, if we define

$$R(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma_u} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} P(x + u) \left(1 + \frac{xu}{\sigma_u^2} \right) \phi\left(\frac{u}{\sigma_u}\right) du, \quad (29)$$

then the optimal strategy of the insider can be written as

$$X(v) = R^{-1}(v), \quad \text{or} \quad w = R(X(w)). \quad (30)$$

Putting together (30) and (23), we obtain the following result:

Proposition 1. *If (X, P) is an equilibrium, the function $X(w)$ satisfies*

$$w = \frac{1}{\sigma_u} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} v \phi\left(\frac{v}{\sigma_v}\right) \phi\left(\frac{X(w)+u-X(v)}{\sigma_u}\right) dv}{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi\left(\frac{v}{\sigma_v}\right) \phi\left(\frac{X(w)+u-X(v)}{\sigma_u}\right) dv} \left(1 + \frac{X(w)u}{\sigma_u^2}\right) \phi\left(\frac{u}{\sigma_u}\right) du. \quad (31)$$

This is a functional equation in X . All one needs to do now is to prove the following result.

Conjecture 1. Equation (31) has a unique solution given by the linear function in (10). Without loss of generality, assume $p_0 = 0$, $\sigma_u = \sigma_v = 1$. Then, the conjecture reduces to showing that the equation

$$w = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} v \phi(v) \phi(X(w) + u - X(v)) dv}{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi(v) \phi(X(w) + u - X(v)) dv} (1 + X(w)u) \phi(u) du. \quad (32)$$

has a unique solution, $X(w) = w$.